**COVID-19 Micro Briefing 1: The disproportionate impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on disabled people. January 2021**

**Introduction**

History tells us that pandemics do not affect all communities or social groups equally1. Attention must be paid to the differential impact of COVID-19 on different groups and communities or approaches to social and economic recovery will not only be hindered but will also exacerbate existing inequalities, potentially creating additional burden for healthcare systems and other services.

Disabled people are at increased clinical risk from COVID-19 as they have higher rates of chronic conditions and co-morbidities compared to the general population2. This makes it more likely that they will become seriously ill or die from COVID-193. However, clinical risk alone does not fully explain the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on disabled people; a range of pre-pandemic barriers, inequalities and disadvantage have also been influential.

This micro briefing sets out key evidence relating to existing inequalities endured by disabled people which relate to COVID-19, as well as presenting recent evidence and insights concerning the impacts of the pandemic and its ‘lockdown’ disease containment policies on disabled people.

**Key Points**

1. Disabled people are more likely to become seriously ill or die from COVID-19.

2. A variety of mechanisms explain the disproportionate impact of the pandemic among disabled populations - including; elevated clinical risk; the worsening of existing poverty and inequalities; barriers in accessing vital services including COVID-19 testing; and the disruption of vital health, social care and other support services.

3. The unintended impacts of lockdown disease containment policy are more acutely felt by disabled people who have higher rates of existing common mental disorders, are more likely to be socially isolated and to be digitally excluded.

4. Mainstreaming the sustained involvement of disabled people in designing pandemic recovery policy, practice and research at the local and national levels will support the effectiveness of public service responses and the potential to ‘build back fairer’.

**Evidence Review: Main Points**

• Disability is part of being human. Almost everyone will temporarily or permanently experience disability at some point in their life4. The World Health Organisation estimates that over a billion people have some form of disability5. Within the UK approximately 1-in-5 people (13.9 million people) are disabled6, and this is increasing due to population ageing and rising levels of chronic health conditions, among other causes5-7.

• The Equality Act 2010 defines disability as a long-standing physical or mental impairment which causes substantial difficulty with daily activities8. In Glasgow, 28% of the population have a limiting long-term condition or impairment, rising to over 30% in some areas9. Almost a third (31%) of all Glasgow residents have one or more health conditions9.

• The disabled people’s movement defines disability through a ‘social model’ which makes clear that inequality and exclusion endured by disabled people are caused by a range of complex societal barriers, and not through individual impairments or conditions10-11. Despite the high prevalence of disability, the societal barriers and issues affecting the lives of disabled people are not well understood among non-disabled populations12. Discrimination and stigma around disability, either deliberate or sub-conscious, at an individual level or institutional; remain highly pervasive7.

• The pandemic has underscored long-established evidence that disabled people have reduced access to health care and other vital services13; public health messages14; cultural activity15and green space16. Furthermore, disabled people are twice as likely to experience social isolation17, and are considerably more likely to face digital exclusion18, and to encounter significant barriers in participating in their communities19, local decision making and civic life20.

• COVID-19 and the unintended impacts of lockdown disease containment policy has increased levels of poverty across the UK and worsened the impacts of poverty for many; with disabled people being especially vulnerable21. This is due to long-standing income, educational, health and wellbeing inequalities endured by disabled people before the pandemic22-23. Disabled people are three times as likely to face poverty and food insecurity as non-disabled people24-25; relatedly disabled people face an average of £583 per month additional cost of living26. Barriers cause lower rates of educational attainment and employment, and those in work face underemployment and a ‘disability pay gap’27.

• Action research led by Glasgow Disability Alliance (GDA) has identified an enduring ‘cycle of exclusion’ – where barriers and inequalities prevent disabled people from being involved in local decision making and in service design which affects their lives28. Because the expert views and insights of disabled people are missing in these forums, societal barriers persist, and new ones continue to emerge28.

• Disabled people are at increased risk of poorer outcomes from COVID-19 through a variety of mechanisms, primarily including; elevated clinical risk relating to underlying chronic conditions and co-morbidities29; the exacerbation of the impacts of existing poverty30; encountering barriers in accessing vital services including COVID-19 testing31; and the disruption of vital health, social care and other public services during the pandemic32. Service disruption has meant many disabled people have seen their existing health conditions deteriorate – loss of mobility, increased pain and reliance on food aid that has not been able to accommodate dietary needs33.

• The adverse mental health impacts of the pandemic are widely recognised; again disabled people are especially vulnerable34. This is because disabled populations also have increased existing rates of depression and other common mental disorders and are more likely to live alone35– further compounding their risk of social isolation and poor mental wellbeing during the pandemic and amid lockdown containment policy36. These risks are further increased by disrupted mental health support services32.

• Self-isolation or social distancing is almost impossible for some disabled people to adhere to as they require close, in-person support from a professional carer or family member in order to meet their daily living, health care, and transport needs; increasing their risk of contracting COVID-1930.

• Disabled people are more likely to be digitally excluded and face difficulties in accessing important and up-to-date COVID-19 public health messaging37. This may mean that disabled people are less able to adhere to evolving disease containment policy and are at higher risk of contracting COVID-19 38.

**Implications Of The Evidence Reviewed**

**Inequalities**

The evidence reviewed in this micro briefing demonstrates that disabled people are at higher risk to COVID-19 and to the unintended consequences of lockdown. The pandemic has worsened the impacts of poverty and widened existing inequalities in health, wellbeing and access to services and support for disabled people. One of the risks inherent in describing the inequalities endured by disabled people in concise, abstract terms is that the human tragedy and suffering experienced can be overlooked. Another risk in discussing inequalities in this way is that we describe and consider ‘disability’ as a homogenous and static entity, which it is not. Characteristics such as race, gender and sexuality intersect with disability; which can compound the barriers, disadvantage and stigma encountered39. The needs and aspirations of disabled people are diverse and generally not well understood. UK national surveys identify a clear ‘disability perception gap’ where non-disabled populations consistently underestimate the prevalence of disability, the inequalities and societal barriers disabled people face, and the levels of prejudice they endure12. Collectively these points underline the urgency of ensuring that the voices of disabled people are clearly heard within all aspects of inequalities-focussed policy, practice and research responses to the pandemic.

**Policy**

Recent research has shown that prior to the pandemic, stalling life expectancy and increasing health inequalities were evident across Scotland, and disabled people were among the worst affected40. This so called ‘crisis before the crisis’ includes fragile eco-systems of support for disabled people, driven by over a decade of austerity41. Entrenched inequalities make these systems – like social care, the economy and disabled people’s place in society – vulnerable to pandemics such as COVID-19 or future public health emergencies or environmental disasters42.

In the broadest policy terms, ending austerity and increasing levels of social protection and investment in public services would improve population health and reduce inequalities in general43and would enhance the health, wellbeing and opportunities for disabled people44. Mainstreaming the sustained involvement of disabled people in designing policy solutions to these ingrained inequalities at the local and national levels will help ensure public service responses are as effective as possible. Ensuring disabled people are included and help to shape policy approaches to tackling poverty, food insecurity, employability, housing, and in the design of health and social care services will be vital if widening inequalities are to be mitigated in COVID-19 recovery planning30.

**Practice**

The rebuilding and renewal of public services should prioritise mitigation of the widening health inequalities caused by COVID-19 and lockdown policy45. In addition, disabled people must be appropriately prioritised within the COVID-19 vaccination roll-out. Wider services including health, social care, occupational therapy, housing, education, employability and financial inclusion should liaise with disabled community leaders and disabled people led organisations to identify those worst affected by lockdown policy and the impacts of service closures, to fast-track mitigation of increasingly poor outcomes.

**Future research**

The inclusion of disabled people in setting pandemic recovery research priorities and approaches is vital and methods should aim to capture the lived reality of the pandemic for disabled people. A range of metrics should be developed to ensure that the social and economic recovery of disabled people keeps pace with the rest of society. Relatedly, recovery research should be future oriented, seeking to identify policy opportunities to ‘build back fairer’46– for example, home working imposed by lockdown restrictions may have become more acceptable to many employers. This could reduce barriers to participation in the labour market for some disabled people, thus reducing pre-pandemic inequalities in employment and income for disabled people; the impacts of which would be positive across the economy and population health.

**USEFUL FURTHER READING**

Glasgow Disability Alliance. Supercharged: A Human Catastrophe. Inequalities, Participation and Human Rights before, during and beyond COVID19. GDA; Glasgow: 2020. <https://gda.scot/resources/supercharged-a-human-catastrophe/>

**Contact**

• Tressa Burke, Chief Executive Officer, Glasgow Disability Alliance tressaburke@gdaonline.co.uk

• Chris Harkins, Glasgow Centre for Population Health christopher.harkins@glasgow.ac.uk

**Micro Briefings: Purpose And Approach**

The Glasgow Centre for Population Health and Policy Scotland have developed a series of COVID-19 ‘micro briefings’ written in collaboration with expert partner agencies. They are intended to support a range of partners and decision makers by providing concise, accessible overviews of current evidence concerning complex and evolving issues relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.

This micro briefing has been written with the Glasgow Disability Alliance (GDA) – a disabled people led organisation with over 5,000 members across Greater Glasgow. GDA provides a range of support programmes and services for disabled people including fully accessible learning, coaching, and capacity building events designed to connect disabled people with each other, with opportunities and with decision makers.

GDA provides welfare rights and representation opportunities through its ‘Rights Now’ project and supports disabled people to effectively address barriers to social care through its 'Future Visions for Social Care Project', supporting disabled people to codesign the support they need and share priorities for change within social care reform. GDA has also implemented a range of COVID-19 support services including its ‘Lifeline’ service providing food, medication and resources for disabled people during the pandemic; 'GDA Wellbeing' which provides telephone and online support for wellbeing; and ‘GDA Connects’ which promotes digital participation – by providing digital devices, broadband and coaching to boost digital confidence.
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